| Team ID | |
| --- | --- |
| Judge Team ID | |

| Category | 4=Superior | 3=Very Good | 2=Need improvement | 1=Emerging | Score |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Completeness and breadth | The analysis (including visualization if needed) addressed all questions and the analysis was thorough and appropriate | The analysis (including visualization if needed) addressed most of the questions and the analysis was thorough and mostly appropriate | The analysis (including visualization if needed) addressed about half of the questions and the analysis was not very appropriate | The analysis (including visualization if needed) addressed less than half of the questions and the analysis has major flaws | |
| Appropriateness of analytical methods | The analysis (including visualization) methods were sophisticated, well explained and correct | The analysis (including visualization) methods were well explained, but not detailed. The methods were correct | There were minor flaws of the analysis methods (including visualization), and not well explained | The analysis (including visualization) had major flaws and not well explained | |
| Creativity and innovation | The analysis and visualization were extremely creative. The insights and recommendations of results provided were very innovative. | The analysis and visualization were somewhat creative. The insights of results provided were innovative | The analysis and visualization were at baseline and conventional with some innovative insights of results provided | The analysis and visualization were not creative and the insights of results were conventional | |
| Communication of outcomes | Methods and results were conveyed in a detailed manner. Clearly explained the outcomes and provided clear and relevant insights of the outcomes | Methods and results were conveyed in a manner that is easily understood. Clearly explained the outcomes. Insights were provided but not very relevant | The description of methods was not complete. The explanations of outcomes were clear. Not much insights provided related to the outcomes. | The description of methods was minimal. The explanations of outcomes were not very clear. No insights provided. | |

| Data Processing | Data resources were appropriate and relevant. Data processing related to missing values, merging, row and column manipulation and etc. was very appropriate and well addressed | Data resources were appropriate and relevant. Data processing related to missing values, merging, row and column manipulation and etc. was appropriate but not well addressed | Data resources were appropriate and relevant. Data processing related to missing values, merging, row and column manipulation, etc. was not appropriate | Data resources were not appropriate and relevant. Data processing related to missing values, merging, row and column manipulation and etc. was not addressed | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Team synergy | The team demonstrated a high level of coordination, cooperation and synergy, and all team members participated in the presentation | The team demonstrated some level of coordination, cooperation and synergy, and most team members participated in the presentation | The team lacked coordination of team work and very few team members participated in the presentation | No coordination of team work, and only one team member participated in the presentation | |